

ISOC Switzerland Chapter Inaugural Meeting

22 April 2012, ISOC Geneva Headquarters, 20:00 – 23:40

• Present:

- Delage Alexandre
- Pitoiset Laurent
- Staub Werner
- Gagliano Roque
- Engelson Boris
- Gajewski Jacek
- Dittler Hans Peter
- Cieslak Marcim
- Iwaszkiewicz Jan
- Misiowiec Marek
- Labbé Martin
- Chenou Jean-Marie
- Höneisen Bernhard
- Pallotta Vincenzo
- Radu Roxana
- Galati Federico
- Chevallier Michel
- Baazia Riad

Guests: Sabrina Wilmot (ISOC), representatives of ISOC chapters (Germany, France, Poland) and one ISOC chapter in formation (Azerbaijan)

• Agenda of the Inaugural GA

1. Welcome
2. Election of Inaugural GA Officers
 - a. Two Vote Counters
 - b. Chair of Inaugural GA
 - c. Secretary of Inaugural GA
3. Agenda Bashing
4. Decision on Formation of an Association
5. Statutes
 - a. Selection of Variant
 - b. Voting on (pre-submitted) Change Requests
 - c. Final Acceptance of the Statutes
6. Declarations to join the Association
7. Elections
 - a. Board (or Interim-Board)
 - b. Oversight Committee
 - c. Head Office (if applicable)
8. Action plan / activities
9. Decisions on membership fees
10. Tasks for the Board
11. Miscellaneous
12. Closing

- **Opening statement**
 - by Jasek Gajewski - the request for creating the Swiss chapter was accepted since November, without any objections.
- **For the present meeting:**
 - scrutiny committee- 2 persons: Michel Chevallier, Marcim Cieslak
 - chair of the meeting: Bernie Höneisen
 - secretary: Roxana Radu
- **Tour of the table with brief introduction of those present**
- **Introduction of the agenda: no change requests - agenda approved**
- **Voting on the formation of the ISOC Switzerland Chapter:**
 - consensus voting
 - Engelson: suggestion for a Lemanique chapter
- **Discussion of the 3 different statute proposals**
 - A) All in one: Swiss legislation + ISOC requirements (1 document, 1 section; but might lead to legal conflicts (Swiss law vs. ISOC rules)
 - B) One section each (positive aspects: no legal conflicts between ISOC and Swiss law; most flexibility; clear separation; negative aspect: 2 different sections)
 - C) Two documents (positive aspect: no legal conflicts; negative aspects: might lead to inconsistencies; there are 2 separate documents)
- **Questions:**
 - Gajewski: does the B variant entail any incompatibility if everything is in the same document?
 - Höneisen: for the Swiss legislation there is a 2/3 majority requirement for changes, whereas for the ISOC requirement the Board can adjust it.
 - Member: Is there a European chapter for ISOC?
 - Gajewski: there are 25 chapters in Europe, and there is a discussion forum for them, but nobody has the right to represent all of them together.
 - Member: an important distinction reflected in the statute variants is that the Swiss legislation part is binding by general constitution, whereas the ISOC requirements part is binding by contract.
 - Staub: the ISOC requirements could be seen as the internal document that could be changed, then I am in favour of version C, keeping them separate.
 - Palotta: let's look at the actual clauses of the statutes
 - Gajewski: changes to Bylaws in the final part of the blue document
 - Höneisen: needs to be changed in reference with the blue part of the document

- Staub: how are changes to the statute going to be made? Physically meeting or by electronic vote? Electronic voting should be included in the statute.
 - Member: One clarification: 2/3 majority required to modify anything refers to 2/3 of those present
 - Chevallier: if there is no quorum, there should be more specification about physical presence
- **Voting for choosing the final version of the statutes**
- a) Voting for choosing between variants B and C as the main counterproposal to A:
 - *For counterproposal B: 12 in favour*
 - *For counterproposal C: 0 in favour*
 - *Abstentions: 8*
 - b) Voting the final version between variant A and counterproposal B:
 - *For variant A: 4 in favour*
 - *For variant B: 9 in favour*
 - *Abstentions: 6*
- **Discussion of the paragraphs (one-by-one) of the statutes (variant B)**
- Observations:
- ‘seated in Zurich’ should be changed (later on) to reflect the variation in the Swiss regions
 - Request of order for moving on to par. 2: 12 votes in favour
 - Letter of affiliation agreement of ISOC with the chapter in progress
 - “The chapter shall be” rather than ‘is’, since ISOC CH is not licensed today ; but the organization exists even if ISOC doesn’t accept it as a chapter
 - Sabrina Wilmot: ISOC by-laws template mentions “shall be called”
 - Motion to move on to discuss the rest: majority voting
 - Par. 6: “students must be registered as full-time students” – can be left to the GA to decide
 - Par. 7: voting on the new version: the Board should decide on accepting membership, rather than the GA
 - Raising a point of order to only discuss the articles that have changes: majority vote
 - Basic remarks and requests for further change have been made for the next GA:
 - Par. 7 – votes in favour: 10; against: 1; abstentions: 4
 - Par. 13: consensus for accepting the change
 - Par. 14: consensus for accepting the change
 - Par. 17: consensus for accepting the change
 - Par. 21: consensus for accepting the change
 - Par. 23 (2): consensus for accepting the change
- **Vote on accepting the statutes:**
- in favour: 19, against: 0, abstentions: 2

- **Signing the list of funding members (18 present)**
- **3 new applications for membership**
 - Kummer Markus, Simao Ilda, Wyden Guelpa Anja
 - accepted by majority voting
 - Observation made about approving the membership of public servants–questioning whether that is conflictual with their official position at the time of the application; reply that they apply as individual members to the ISOC Switzerland Chapter
- **Changes in the bylaws (ISOC requirements):**
 - majority vote for discussing only the specific changes
 - “Additional responsibilities of the Board” – change accepted by majority voting
 - **Voting on the entire by-laws (ISOC requirements) document:**
 - approved by majority voting
- **Elections:**
 - Proposal for voting on interim board (Roque Gagliano): majority voting (14)

INTERIM-BOARD (until next GA) – a total of 17 casted votes

 - *Chairperson:* Bernie Höneisen (4 votes), **Vincenzo Palotta** (10 votes), abstentions (3)
 - *Vice-chair person for internal affairs:* Vincenzo Palotta (3 votes), **Bernie Höneisen** (10 votes), abstentions (4)
 - *Vice-chair person for external affairs:* **Federico Galati** (15 votes), abstentions (2)
 - *Treasurer:* **Roque Gagliano** (14 votes), abstentions (3)
 - *Secretary:* **Alexandre Delage** (14 votes), abstentions (3)

OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE:

 - 2 permanent members (**Jean-Marie Chenou, Martin Labbe**)
 - 1 *stand-by* member (**Michel Chevallier**)

approval by majority voting

HEAD OFFICE:

 - Proposal: the Board decides on the location of the head office – approved by majority voting
- **Activities of the chapter**
 - presentation by Vincenzo Palotta
 - Agree on 1-3 themes that are aligned with ISOC goals
 - Select 1-4 ‘smart’ objectives for each theme
 - Identify who is in charge of each objective
 - Identify the resources to achieve these objectives

- All issues emphasized in the survey conducted for choosing the most appropriate activities: educational activities, networking, enabling access for under-served communities, support for technology to younger people, new digital divide, security, ipv6, web 2.0, ict4d, evolution of the DNS
 - 3 broad themes: security, accessibility, standards theme (for each a leader and members)
 - Critical to the success of the chapter is sponsorship (Outreach committee: leadership, action plan and networking)
 - Cieslak: proposing attending the mailing list of Wikimedia and suggesting attending the ITU conference in Dubai at the end of 2012
 - Gagliano: identify 1-2 impact activities for relatively short term
 - Cieslak: presence at the ITU Dubai meeting could be a 'quick win'
 - Gagliano: activities of ISOC could include the oversight of different policy processes, explaining for children how internet really works
 - Palotta: we need an implementation team for all the good ideas
 - Gajewski: this is still an interim board – proposal for a Webex meeting with other chapters
 - Engelson: internet is about the easiness of content creation; core values might be challenged as different internet generations come forward in the governance process; the different constituencies are not the same, since the internet was originally designed as a technical community but now it is perceived as a social issue
- **Membership fee**
 - vote by majority for introducing a fee; vote by majority that the Board is to be deciding on the amount of the membership fee

Closing of the meeting
